

Action Taken Report

Academic year: 2018-19

Name of the Stakeholder: Alumni

A staff council meeting has been convened by College Academic Committee with all the in-charges / Heads of the Departments under the chairmanship of the Principal to bring feedback analysis to their notice with a request to find out the possibility for effective changes offered by their respective departments.

The Heads of the Departments in turn have conducted a meeting in their departments and explored the possibility of action plan to be implemented in accordance with the demands of the stakeholders.

Alumni Feedback above 90% is considered as positive feedback and below 90% as a thumbs down feedback and we are working for the improvement.

S.No	Question	Feedback / Concern	Percentage Consensus	Action To be taken
1	How relevant were the courses in preparing you for your career?	Good	87	We will take action by reviewing the feedback and collaborating with industry professionals to ensure the curriculum aligns with the current and future needs of the job market.
2	Rate the competence and expertise of the faculty.	Good	85	We will regularly evaluate the competence and expertise of the faculty members, providing professional development opportunities, and encouraging faculty to stay updated with the latest advancements in their respective fields.
3	Did the curriculum provide sufficient exposure to real-world industry practices and trends?	Good	87	We will ensure greater exposure to real-world industry practices and trends by strengthening industry partnerships and incorporating practical experiences into the curriculum.
4	How well did the curriculum enable you to apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations?	Good	85	We will incorporate more hands-on activities, practical exercises, and real-world case studies into the curriculum to enhance the application of theoretical knowledge in practical situations.

5	How effective were the teaching methods employed in the curriculum?	Good	86	We will improve the teaching methods used in the curriculum based on feedback from students and faculty to enhance effectiveness and engagement in the classroom.
6	How well did the curriculum support co-curricular activities such as workshops, seminars, and competitions?	Good	88	We will enhance support for co-curricular activities, such as workshops, seminars, and competitions, based on feedback, providing more resources and opportunities within the curriculum.
7	Were there ample opportunities for research and innovation within the curriculum?	Good	85	We will increase research and innovation opportunities within the curriculum based on feedback, fostering a culture of exploration and providing ample resources for students.
8	Rate the importance and quality of project work assigned as part of the curriculum.	Good	88	We will take action by assessing the feedback on the importance and quality of project work, revising project guidelines, and providing additional resources and support to enhance the significance and quality of project work assigned in the curriculum.
9	Did the curriculum offer a satisfactory range of elective courses to choose from?	Good	86	We will evaluate the feedback on the range of elective courses offered, expanding the selection of elective courses, and providing students with a broader range of options to choose from in the curriculum.
10	Overall, how satisfied are you with the curriculum?	Good	87	We will make necessary adjustments to ensure a more satisfactory curriculum experience for students.



DIRECTOR

Director

MIT S School of Biotechnology
Bhubaneswar